Department of Special Education

Special Education Teacher Candidate Handbook

A Guide for

- Cooperating Teachers
- Teacher Candidates
- University Supervisors



Fall/Spring 2024/2025

The Department of Special Education's Teacher Candidate Handbook is designed to assist Mentor Teachers, Teacher Candidates, and University Supervisors. This handbook contains information specific to the Prek-12 Special Education Program – all EGP/MGP Majors are required to download additional handbooks from their respective programs.

Table of Contents

Topics	Pages
Table of Contents	2
The Teaching Experience	3
Mission Statement – Special Education	4
Mission Statement–CESW- Conceptual Framework	5
Directions for Assignments/Activities	6 - 13
WCU Capstone Assignment	14
Graduate Student Information	15
Formal Evaluation of Student Teaching including certification information	16
Teacher Candidate Class Schedule	17
Teacher Candidate Observation	18 - 20
Re-Evaluation Report Rubric	21 - 23
Individualized Education Program Rubric	24 - 26
NOREP Rubric	27
Lesson Plan Rubric	28 - 30
SLO Rubric	31 -33
Explanation of PDE 430	34 – 36
Grading Guidelines	37
WCU Conceptual Framework - Guidelines for Observations	38 - 39
Universal Precautions	40

The Teaching Experience

The student teaching experience provides a laboratory for the testing of ideas—a place where the student may encounter real problems, an opportunity for personal growth, and a feeling of reality. All these factors tend to make the student teaching experience one of the most interesting and helpful phases of the professional preparation of prospective teachers.

The Department of Special Education would like to thank the faculty and staff of the participating schools for being such an important part in the development of a new generation of professional educators. We would also like to wish our students well in this critical step of their professional education.

Dr. Dawn R. Patterson
Student Teaching Supervision Coordinator
dpatterson@wcupa.edu

Bachelor of Science in Education/ Post Baccalaureate Certification Special Education

Degree Program Leading to Pennsylvania Certification: PreK-12 Special Education

Our Mission Statement

As the Special Education faculty, we provide relevant and comprehensive education for those who desire to support the educational, emotional, and physical needs of students with disabilities, from three to twenty-one years of age, in the public schools of Pennsylvania.

We are committed to preparing graduates of the program who can provide diverse student populations with the knowledge, skills, and values essential for effective participation in society.

We believe high standards of scholarship, ethics, and awareness of the changes occurring in the field are essential for both faculty and students to remain at the forefront of sound educational practice. We demonstrate this through instruction, learning, research, collaboration with other professionals in the College of Education and Social Work and community school districts, and through service to the community.

The Special Education department stands out in the southeastern Pennsylvania region because of numerous and early opportunities for practicum experiences afforded its students; its small, professionally diverse faculty; a high rate of employment opportunities; a heightened sense of collegiality and volunteerism among its students; and finally, national (Council for the Accreditation of Educator Preparation), regional (Middle states Association of Colleges and Secondary Schools), and state (Pennsylvania Department of Education) accreditation.

WCU Conceptual Framework for Teacher Education

Mission

The College of Education and Social Work (CESW) prepares highly skilled and ethical professionals to become fair-minded critical thinkers and change agents who act with integrity in the pursuit of knowledge and strive for excellence within their professions. The CESW fosters a culture of reflection, evidenced-based practices, intellectual discourse, and respect for human diversity. CESW empowers students to make a significant impact on the world to improve individual and family lives and strengthening educational, health or community systems.

Vision

The West Chester University College of Education and Social Work embraces a transformative vision of learning as a life-long endeavor. We will be a leader in preparing professionals who advance social justice and address the contemporary challenges of a global society.



EDA416 and/or EDA417 (6 credit hours each) Directions for Activities to be completed during Special Education Placement

General Description

For double special education majors, the special education portion of the student teaching experience consists of one half-semester in a special education placement to be completed either during the first half of the semester as EDA 416 or second half as EDA 417, most typically in a learning support environment of your grade band. For special education Prek-12 stand-alone majors, the student teaching experience consists of two different special education teaching experiences; in EDA416 and EDA417. As a stand-alone major you will have one learning support and one specialized classroom placement; most typically one will be in lower (Prek-6), and one will be in upper (7-12). During the special education placement, the student will work under the direction of a Mentor Teacher and a University Supervisor. By the end of the placement, the student will have undertaken teaching, and all other activities related to a special education teacher's work in addition to completing a series of assignments. Students will attend mandatory orientation on campus and participate in weekly seminar sessions at a time and location to be announced. Special education Prek-12 stand-alone majors will work with their University Supervisor to coordinate completion of assignments and seminar.

Requirements

You are required to *maintain the utmost confidentiality at all times*. It is anticipated that your first few days/first week will be a gradual introduction into classroom routines and activities - the pace at which you become actively involved will depend on your ability to adapt to the new demands i.e., some placements may require that you observe for a few days to familiarize with the routines and/or student needs whereas others may require that you begin engaging immediately. Consult with your Mentor Teacher and supervisor for guidance.

The guiding principle within each placement is that instruction should be designed, materials created, documents (e.g., IEPs) drafted, and activities planned such that they meet the needs of the students in your assigned classroom. If performance data suggest that learning has occurred as a result of a lesson, it is likely you have done a good job in planning. If not, revisions are needed – this is an example of effective, data-based, reflective teaching.

All written requirements are to be submitted in a timely fashion, as designated by the University Supervisor. The following assignments, as described below, will be submitted for grading via Anthology:

- Six lesson plans [submitted as part of the Danielson and SLO]
- IEP, RR, NOREP and SLO

Therefore, all student teachers are required to have an Anthology account for submission of all assignments.

1. **Observations.** The first visit (TRIAD meeting usually occurs within the first two weeks of Special Education Teacher Candidate Handbook – Fall/Spring 2024-25

semester) – this is usually an informal meeting during which the WCU supervisor, Teacher Candidate and Mentor Teacher hold a brief meeting to review expectations and discuss the key assignments so that everyone understands their roles and responsibilities during the 7.5-week placement. This initial meeting will also present an opportunity for the University Supervisor to answer any questions the Teacher Candidate/student teacher or Mentor Teacher may have. All student teachers will be observed formally a **minimum of three times** by the University Supervisor. Another TRIAD meeting will take place at the end of the placement during which the triad will discuss the experience and to gather additional information/evidence for the Danielson rubric. It is important to note that all visits [formal or informal] will contribute to the University Supervisor's perceptions of the student teacher's abilities as a professional special educator. It is important to note that the Mentor Teacher or the University Supervisor may determine the need for additional formal observations (if needed).

2. Lesson Plans. Written lesson plans are required for all lessons taught. Each lesson plan must include clearly defined learning outcomes/instructional objectives, selection of differentiated activities for direct instruction, guided and independent practice, list of materials and plan for student evaluation that is appropriate in assessing the skills being taught. Lessons may vary anywhere from fifteen to forty-five minutes or more in presentation depending on the type of placement, diverse student needs, topic and/or group size. The content may range from daily lessons (math, reading etc.) to special lessons (art, projects, holidays, etc.). If you are student teaching in a Life Skills Support, Multiple Disabilities Support, or Autism Support placement, your lesson plans may be in the form of instructional plans using systematic instruction (such as *System of Least Prompts*), task analysis, and functional content. The format and style of your daily lesson plans will be dictated by the needs of your students and the nature of the instructional methods you are using. It is important to note that each of the 6 lesson plans submitted for grading is formatted following the WCU lesson plan template and graded using the lesson plan rubric.

Students will be required to submit lesson plans to Mentor Teacher and supervisor for review and feedback at least 2 days prior to the actual teaching - refer to University

Supervisor and Mentor Teacher for additional guidance. Of the lesson plans prepared over the course of your/each 7.5 weeks special education placement, a total of six lesson plans will be submitted to University Supervisor for the purpose of grading.

- * 1 lesson plan, that is not part of the SLO will be submitted to Anthology in the Lesson Plan folder
- * 3 lesson plans or the equivalent of 5 hours of instruction (minimum) will be submitted as part of the SLO Assignment in Anthology in the SLO folder
- * The remaining lesson plans will be submitted as your University Supervisor indicates

3. RR, IEP and NOREP

Select a student who needs a new or revised IEP - your Mentor Teacher should guide you in selecting a student. You may need to obtain parental permission to work with this student. It's important that you:

 Read all available background information concerning the student, observe the Special Education Teacher Candidate Handbook – Fall/Spring 2024-25 student in different settings and interview the student and the teachers that work with the student.

- Complete formal assessments.
 - Write a Reevaluation Report, IEP and NOREP demonstrate your ability to write professionally and objectively. Utilize all informal and formal information obtained to create the Reevaluation Report (RR) it is important that you change all identifying information such as the student name, school and district names to ensure confidentiality. Remember that the IEP indicates yearly academic outcomes, social and behavioral expectations, and transition goals (if applicable). The IEP should be a living, working document follow state and federal guidelines for completion. Also, use the official RR, IEP and NOREP templates available on D2L. Stand Alone/Post Baccalaureate students will complete one set of these assignments during each half of their special education student teaching experiences. One set, determined by your supervisor, will be submitted to Anthology in each of the designated folders. The other set will be submitted per instructions from your University Supervisor.
- Ideally, you should use the same student for all key assignments (RR, IEP, NOREP) including the SLO (mini-unit)

4. Reflective Journal Prompts - Danielson Domain 4

It is the responsibility of the Teacher Candidate/Student Teacher to provide evidence of meeting the expectations of Domain Four and components (4a, 4b, 4c, 4d, 4e, and 4f) before the completion of your special education student teaching placement. Stand Alone/Post Baccalaureate will complete this at the end of each half. This evidence is submitted to Anthology in the Domain 4 folder. Evidence will be in the form of a written reflection as you review the expectations listed below and outlined in the Danielson Framework. It is encouraged that you upload artifacts (if applicable) to support your reflective narrative. University Supervisors will rate each item below using the criteria outlined in the Danielson Framework Domain 4 only.

4a: Reflecting on Teaching

Teachers reflect on their practice through a self-analysis, examine student informal and formal outcome data, and conversations with others (parents, students, colleagues). To complete this task, you should consider your experience during student teaching on having a positive impact on student learning, developing a positive relationship with colleagues, parents, etc.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- What were some challenges in developing positive relationships with students, families, and colleagues? How did you overcome those challenges? What will you do differently to improve in this area?
- What would you do differently when planning learning experiences (lesson plans, interventions, etc.) to support student learning outcomes?

4b: Maintaining Accurate Records

An essential responsibility of educators is keeping accurate records of both instructional (student

records) and non-instructional events (lunch forms, permission slips, etc.). During student teaching, consider ways you were able to maintain records and write a reflective narrative (upload artifacts if appropriate) as evidence.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- Describe a process (steps) used to record students' progress toward the learning target (SLO may be helpful to consider when responding to this prompt).
- What process did you use to communicate to students about their progress (e.g., during any assessment administered or homework grades)? How did you maintain confidentiality?
- Describe a process used to maintain accurate records of non-instructional activities.

4c: Communicating with Families

Educators have the responsibility to provide opportunities for families to understand their child's academic progress. Effective communication is one way to establish a positive relationship with families.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- Describe some of the challenges or perceived challenges in working with families to support the growth and development of their child. What strategies would be essential to overcome those challenges?
- What have you done to communicate to families about the progress of their child? What did you have to consider before communicating with families? What were some challenges?
- What responsibility do you have to ensure you develop and maintain a positive professional relationship with families?

4d: Participating in the Professional Community

It is critical that teachers work collaboratively to plan, share, and address issues to not only improve teaching and learning but to develop your ability to be an active participate within the professional community. In this section, you should consider in what ways you have developed your ability to participate as part of the professional community. This may include working with your Mentor (Mentor) teacher or University Supervisor to plan lessons; problem solve issues or concerns, etc. Eventually, this should include participating in activities beyond your classroom such as volunteering on committees or other organizations/school events.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- What evidence supports your ability to participate in activities beyond your classroom? Describe those experiences and how it relates to your ability to participate as part of the professional community.
- Describe your role in your school as a professional learning community.
- What opportunities do you have to share classroom research and successes with your colleagues?
- If you were a permanent part of the school, how would you be able to contribute to the mission and vision? In essence, how do you see yourself contributing to the

4e: Growing and Developing Professionally

Lifelong learning is essential to remain current to new and innovative approaches to improve your practice. This may include attending or presenting at conferences, networking with colleagues (joint planning sessions, study groups), participating in professional organizations, or reading articles or books that will support your effectiveness in a classroom.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- After reflecting on your student teaching experience, what are your strengths and areas in need of further development?
- What will you do to address those areas of concern? This may include identifying resources (books, articles) you plan to read with an explanation of why you selected those resources.
- What professional organizations are you an active member and how has your participation supported your development?
- What do you believe to be the characteristics of an exemplary teacher?

4f: Showing Professionalism

Educators demonstrate professionalism in service and to the profession. Professionalism can be displayed in your interactions with students, colleagues and others. Specifically, your ability to be honest, respectful, and trustworthy. Maintaining professionalism is critical in difficult situations that may include interaction with others or conflicting policies, beliefs, and values.

Use the following prompts as a guide as you write your narrative:

- What are your personal goals to maintain your professionalism?
- What examples of professionalism did you observe in your student teaching experience and what did you learn from that experience?
- 5. **Student Learning Objective [SLO]** The SLO is an intensive learning plan that includes a variety of informal and formal assessments to support target learning objective(s). Although one of the major goals of a SLO is to document student learning over a period of time, you will be evaluated on the process as outlined in the SLO Process Rubric. Also, you will be evaluated on your ability to develop and implement an evidenced-based plan of instruction that should, if implemented with fidelity, impact student learning. The following is the minimum expectation for completing the SLO during the modified time frame of your special education student teaching placement this proposed timeline will enable you to complete the various components of the SLO:
 - Candidates completing the SLO assignment in a special education classroom will work with 1 student (minimally) – this must be approved by the University Supervisor and Mentor Teacher (MT). Ideally, this is the same student that you completed your RR, NoREP, & IEP on.
 - o Complete and submit the SLO template (Appendix B: SLO Template) Upload

- to Anthology.
- Develop and implement an instructional plan that consists of 3-5 consecutive lessons [minimum 5 hours of instruction] to address using the SLO – Upload with the SLO to Anthology.
- o SLO Rubric Appendix A: Student Learning Objectives (SLO) Process Rubric
- Lesson Plan Template Appendix C: Lesson Plan Template

Choose a specific area from the IEP for remediation (this can be academic or behavioral). Using the SLO template, you will complete all sections beginning with the

Classroom Context

- I. Analysis of Student Performance
 - In this section, you will revisit information from the re-evaluation report and the IEP, identifying the student's area of need that aligns to the area of remediation. Include dates of assessments and completion of paperwork. For example, you may begin broadly by indicating that the student has a disability, based on IQ and/or specific achievement assessments, related services assessments, etc., to then include any specific classroom-based assessments and/or teaching reporting.
- II. Identification of Instructional Need & Instructional Population
 - In this section, you will indicate how this information compares to grade-aligned peers. Additionally, you will provide information related to where the student receives their educational services, based on the continuum of services and the location (general or special education classroom) of the instruction. In this section you will also address if the setting is co-taught, push-in, or pull-out, as well as whole or small group, or one-to-one instruction.

III. Goal Statement

• In this section, you will provide the specific IEP goal(s) and objective(s) (if applicable) that will be remediated in the SLO.

IV. Essential Question

- In this section, you will identify the essential questions related to the need for the instruction and completion of the SLO. For example, (a) Will this instruction increase the students abilities/knowledge to align closer to grade-aligned peers?, (b) Is (<u>fill in the blank</u>) the most appropriate procedure to use for the student to make advancements in (<u>instructional area</u>)?, (c) How can the use of differentiated/individualized/adapted instruction provide meaningful instruction?
- In your final reflection, is where you will address each of the essential questions.

V. PA Standards

• In this section, you will use grade-aligned eligible or essential elements (alternate eligible) content standards in your lesson plans. These are the same standards that are in your lesson plans.

VI. ISTE Standards

• You may use Educator and/or Student standards. At least one lesson *must* include

meaningful use of technology.

VII. Academic Language

• This is the content terminology that is addressed in your lesson plans. You will subdivide as Lesson Plan 1, Lesson Plan 2, etc. Most of the terminology listed in this section will be specifically taught.

Pre and Post Assessment Plans

- I. Pre & Post Assessment Plan Description
 - In this section, identify the assessment used. You will describe why the identified assessment was selected. You will attach a copy of the blank assessment(s) to the SLO assignment.
 - You may develop and administer curriculum-based assessment(s[CBA]). Preassessments should incorporate varied measures that assess the students' existing knowledge base/skill levels focusing specifically on the skill (identified in the IEP) that needs to be remediated. Remember CBA is frequent brief measurements administered before, during and after instructional its implementation (<u>refer to</u> <u>supervisor for additional clarification</u>).

II. Validity and Reliability

• In this section, you will describe how the assessment tool is both valid and reliable. If you are using a published assessment, you will find this information in the assessment manual. If you have created the pre and post assessments for the SLO, discuss these items in detail.

III. Assessment Window

• When will the pre assessment and post assessment be delivered.

IV. Baseline

- You will include the completed pre assessment(s).
- In this section, you will describe the student's current abilities based on the data gathered. Return to the IEP to determine the rate of progress to date and discuss reasonable growth within these 5 hours of instruction. Explicitly describe the conditions under which the assessment was given. This will help ensure reliability of the assessment at post assessment.
- Instruction should be designed based on a comparative profile of pre-assessment data from varied measures.

Achievement Goal

- I. Achievement Goal Type
 - For this assignment you will select Individualized Growth Target
- II. Identify actual performance
 - You will include the completed post assessment(s).
 - In this section, you will create a graph or graphs to visually represent progress. Include pre-assessment and post-assessment data –select digital graphic

- representation(s) that will display the data meaningfully, making sure that it is properly labeled.
- Include a description of the graph(s) provided. This is also a place where you will include anecdotal information that may have impact student learning.

III. Achievement Goal

• In this section, you will include the objectives that are in the lesson plans. Identify them as Lesson 1, Lesson 2, etc. Develop your objectives carefully, keeping in mind, the student's rate of progress as discussed earlier.

Instructional Plan Outline

- I. Provide an outline with a brief description of the instructional procedures and strategies used.
 - In this section, explain why you believe that this is the best course of action.
 - Develop lesson plans incorporating instructional activities that are adapted to address individual student needs. All adapted activities should be professionally developed at least one instructional activity should incorporate meaningful use of technology. Include motivational strategies if necessary and appropriate. Do not copy directly from a workbook/textbook activities should be hands-on. Minimum 3 lesson plans equivalent to 5 hours of instruction
 - Attach of the lesson plans to this document. Include samples of materials used. You must provide samples of materials used.
- II. Instructional Window Dates

Reflection

- I. Identify number of students who met the achievement goal.
 - In this section, you will identify the number of students who met the goal identified.
- II. Identify this value as a percentage
- III. Candidate self-rating
 - Check the appropriate box
- IV. Reflective Narrative
 - In this section, you will critically analyze the instruction provided. Be specific while considering student engagement, progress, and ability to demonstrate conceptualization of information. You will also reflect on your essential questions.
 - Reflect on impact of the different activities on student learning/behavior. Your reflection should address the following:
 - What worked/what you would change
 - What did *you* learn from the process
 - Logical next steps for instruction

WCU Undergraduate General Education Capstone Reflection

As an undergraduate student you have completed courses to fulfill college-level general education course requirements to earn a bachelor's degree (e.g., WRT, MAT, MDA, SCI, and others). Some of these courses have had specific general education distributives embedded into the course content. For example: (a) LAN382, Diverse Communities and EDA325 Culturally Responsive Practices for Diverse Exceptional Learners have a J (diverse communities) distributive; (b) EDA203 Field: Students with Exceptionalities has a W (writing) distributive; (c) ERM353/4 Assessment for Learning: Early/Middle Grades (EDA/EGME majors only) and EDA363 Assessment for Students with Exceptionalities II EDA Stand Alone majors only) have an E (ethics) distributive; and (d) EDA421 Curriculum and Instruction for Individuals Learning Difference II and Field has a S (speaking) distributive. In each of these courses you have completed an assignment that specifically focused on the distributive embedded and submitted them to your General Education Google Sites E-Portfolio.

With Student Teaching as your culminating capstone course, you have been prepared through your course work to be a PreK-12 Special Education Teacher. Reflection is a critical component of quality teaching. As your final capstone reflection, consider your comprehensive teacher preparation program and your Special Education Student Teaching experience. As you are answering the question below, return to your degree progress report (DPR) or guidance sheet and include aspects of your general ed requirements:

- Goal 1: Communicate effectively
- Goal 2: Think critically and analytically
- Goal 3: Employ quantitative concepts and mathematical methods
- Goal 4: Demonstrate the ability to think across and about disciplinary boundaries
- Goal 5: Respond thoughtfully to diversity
- Goal 6: Understand varied historical, cultural, and philosophical traditions
- Goal 7: Make informed decisions and ethical choice

In your responses to your Domain 4 Final Reflection, include specifics from your courses and your extracurricular activities that have contributed to your ability to be a responsible professional. Answer each of the questions for the Capstone Reflection from Domain 4 a-f on a separate word doc to submit in both your binder and your *WCU Google eportfolio*. These can be found on pages 8-10 of this handbook. It is your responsibility to upload this reflection to your General Education Google Sites E-Portfolio. If you took FYE at WCU your first year, you should have created your portfolio then. If you have not previously created your portfolio in Google Sites for any reason, please follow these instructions for creating your Portfolio using Google Sites.

Graduate Students Only:

Application Requirements for Regular Student Teaching

- 1. No later than the beginning of the semester immediately prior to the student teaching semester, meet with the Graduate Coordinator of the Department of Special Education to ensure that all program requirements are complete or will be completed prior to student teaching.
- 2. Attend a Student Teacher Registration Session to complete the steps necessary to apply for student teaching. This information is submitted to Anthology. Applications must be submitted by the deadline for consideration.

NOTE: On the application, note whether an internship is being considered, and the location of the internship. Internships are an option only for Post-bac students who have passed all required PRAXIS exams. If you are currently employed full-time in a classroom, it may be possible to allow you to remain in that setting while fulfilling your student teaching. Please discuss this option with the Student Teaching Coordinator in the Department of Special Education prior to submitting your application.

Formal Evaluation of Student Teaching

All Teacher Candidates will be evaluated using the:

 West Chester University's Danielson Framework rubric - the Danielson evaluation is completed by the Student Teacher Candidate, University Supervisor, and Mentor (Mentor) Teacher

For more information view the evaluation forms via the Educator Preparation Programs assessment website:

Assessment and Accreditation

Professional Expectations

Special Education Student Teaching

Additional forms of evaluation to assess your performance during your special education placement will include:

Observation - Formal Lesson

A sample form is included - each time your University Supervisor observes (minimally 3 times), they will complete an observation form.

o IEP/Reevaluation Report/NOREP/SLO

The rubrics illustrate the on-line scoring method used for each of these products.

o Lesson Plan Rubric

Required Certification Tests

All teacher certification candidates must take and pass the Praxis test(s) required by their program prior to the last day of their student teaching semester in order to graduate or be considered program completers.

Special Education [Prek-12] Double Major

o Praxis 5355

Special Education [Prek-12] Stand Alone

- o Praxis 5355
- o Praxis 5511

STUDENT TEACHER CLASS SCHEDULE

This form is to be completed with the help of your Mentor Teacher and mailed (or given) to your University Supervisor by the end of your first week in each assignment. The purpose of the schedule is to allow the University Supervisor to make a tentative schedule of visitations.

N	ame of Stude	ent			
T	eacher				
St (v	udent Teach where you wi				
N	ame of Scho	ol		Phone	
N	ame/Email o	f Mentor Teacher:			
			First	Middle	Last
_			SCHEDU	LE	•
	Hour	Room Number	Subject	Activity	Date you expect to begin teaching
	Irregular s	chedules or spec	ial subjects (art, music, etc.)	should also be recorded - i	ndicate day and time
<u>P</u>	lease Indicat	te School Closing	Dates:		
_					
_					

Observation Form

This form is to be used to collect evidence to support the formal Danielson evaluations (via observation or pre- and post-conference).

This does <u>not</u> replace completing the formal Danielson Framework evaluation via Tk20.

Teacher Candidate:	Observer:	
School:	Grade/Subject:	Date:
Danielson Domains		Evidence / Component
The following is provided as a reference.		e Teacher Candidate and students when observing or during the pre- and Include the appropriate component next to each statement in parenthesis observation or conference.
Domain 2: Classroom Environment		
2a: Creating an Environment of Respect and Rapport		
2b: Establishing a Culture for Learning		
2c: Managing Classroom Procedures]	
2d: Managing Student Behavior]	
2e: Organizing Physical Space		
Domain 3: Instruction		
3a: Communicating with Students		
3b: Using Questioning and Discussion Techniques		
3c: Engaging Students in Learning		
3d: Using Assessment in Instruction		
3e: Demonstrating Flexibility and Responsiveness		
Domain 1: Planning and Preparation	1	
1a: Demonstrating Knowledge of Content and Pedagogy	1	

1b: Demonstrating Knowledge of Students		
1c: Setting Instructional Outcomes		
1d: Demonstrating Knowledge of Resources		
1e: Designing Coherent Instruction		
1f: Designing Student Assessments		
D 4 D 6 1 1D 11111		
Domain 4: Professional Responsibilities		
4a: Reflecting on Teaching		
4b: Maintaining Accurate Records		
4c: Communicating with Families		
4d: Participating in the Professional Community		
4e: Growing and Developing Professionally		
4f: Showing Professionalism		
A LUCY TO LOUIS AND A CONTROL OF THE		
Additional Observation Notes/Comments:		
Teacher Candidate Initials:	Observer Initials:	Date:

Pre-Observation Interview Questions

This document will be used by faculty members to gather evidence to support the various Domains within the Danielson Framework. This pre-observation interview/planning conference should take place prior to a scheduled formal observation. Candidate may also respond to one or more of the questions electronically before you observe them teaching a lesson. Responses to the questions will serve as one piece of evidence when evaluating candidates using the Danielson Framework. Some of the questions will also be referenced when analyzing lesson plans created by the Teacher Candidate to support K-12 student learning outcomes. Addressing these questions before a formal observation will provide an opportunity for gain some understanding of the candidates thought process about the key components essential for planning a high-quality learning experiences.

- 1. How does this learning experience "fit" in the sequence of learning for the class and/or align with the students IEP goals/objectives (1b, 1e, 1a)
- 2. How will this lesson support PA standards? PA Alternate Eligible Content (1a)
- 3. How will you differentiate support, adapt learning and accommodate the unique needs of all learners? (1c)
- 4. What do you want students to know and learn upon completion of this lesson? (1c, 1f)
- 5. What do you know about students' cultural backgrounds, interests, language proficiencies that support the development of the learning experience? (1b, 1a, 4d)
- 6. What is the rationale for selecting the resources incorporated as part of your learning plan? How will the resources support the learning outcome? (1d)
- 7. How will you assess students' knowledge throughout the lesson or unit? How will you know if students learned what you intended? (1f)
- 8. How will you engage students in the learning experience? What will you do? What will students do? (1d, 1a, 1e)
- 9. Describe the instructional strategies that will be used to meet the lesson objectives. (1a, 1b)
- 10. How will you establish routines and procedures (transitions, communication to students about acceptable behavior during individual and group work, etc.)? (2a)
- 11. How will you model and acknowledge appropriate behavior in a classroom? (2c)
- 12. How will you organize the classroom (if appropriate) to support teaching of learning (grouping of students, circles, etc.)? (2e)

Post-Observation Interview Questions

This document will be completed as a post-observation conference after the implementation of a learning experience. Responses to the questions will serve as one piece of evidence when evaluating candidates using the Danielson Framework. It will be helpful to provide an in-depth understanding of the questions prior to a formal evaluation. Ideally, this will be done face-to-face after a scheduled formal observation.

- 1. In general, how successful was the lesson? Highlight specific evidence that supports your conclusion? (3d, 4a)
- 2. Describe how you established a classroom of mutual respect (recognized and modeled appropriate behavior, etc.). (2a)
- 3. What experiences did you provide students to demonstrate pride in their work? (2b)
- 4. What experiences did you provide students to take responsibility of their own learning? (2b)
- 5. To what extent was your instructional delivery (activities, grouping of students, materials, resources, etc.) effective in this lesson? (2a, 2b, 3a, 3b, 3c)
- 6. To what extent did your classroom procedures, student conduct, and your use of physical space contribute to student learning? (2c, 2d, 2e)
- 7. What adjustments to your original plan (if any) did you make during the lesson? Why did you determine those adjustments were necessary? (3d, 3e)
- 8. Describe how you established and implemented classroom routines and procedures? (2c)
- 9. What would you do differently if you had to teach the lesson again to the same group of students (including any changes to planning and the implementation of the lesson)? (4a)
- 10. What strategies did you employ to monitor student behavior and to respond to any misbehavior? (2d)
- 11. Explain some challenges with respect to procedures and routines that will have to be altered or changed. (2a, 2d, 4a)
- 12. Describe how you engaged all students in the learning experience. (3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 4a)
- 13. Were there students who were not engaged? If so, what would you have done differently? (3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 4a)
- 14. Identify one area of strength and provide evidence why do you think this part of the lesson went well? (4a)
- 15. Identify one area of weakness and provide evidence why do you think this part of the lesson did not work well? (4a)
- 16. Is there anything specific you would like me to observe during the next lesson? (4a, 4e)
- 17. How were students empowered to take responsibility of their own learning? (2b, 3c, 3d)

Special Education-Re-Evaluation Report (RR) Rubric

		Exemplary (3pts)	Proficient (2pts)	Unsatisfactory (1pt)
1.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's current physical condition, social and/or cultural background, and adaptive behavior.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's current physical condition, social and/or cultural background, and adaptive behavior by integrating all available student information from a variety of sources (e.g. previous evaluation report, previous/current IEP(s), teacher input, parent input, formal assessments, classroom performance, input from school nurse or an outside medical professional).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's current physical condition, social and/or cultural background, and adaptive behavior by integrating some , but not all , available student information from a variety of sources (e.g. previous evaluation report, previous/current IEP(s), teacher input, parent input, formal assessments, classroom performance, input from school nurse or an outside medical professional).	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's current physical condition, social and/or cultural background, and adaptive behavior.
2.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to summarize parent and teacher input.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to summarize parent and teacher input by (1) indicating that the information provided is a summary of the information obtained from the parent and/or teacher (2) interpreting all parent and teacher input related to the PK-12 student (e.g. academic performance, behavior, social/emotional status, health and medical status).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to summarize parent and teacher input by (1) indicating that the information provided is a summary of the information obtained from the parent and/or teacher (2) interpreting some , but not all , parent and teacher input related to the PK-12 student (e.g. academic performance, behavior, social/emotional status, health and medical status).	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to summarize parent and teacher input.
3.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on standardized aptitude and achievement tests.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on standardized aptitude and achievement tests through all of the following (1) accurately reporting standardized scores (as available), (2) interpreting the meaning of the standardized scores, and (3) summarizing the results of the standardized scores.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on standardized aptitude and achievement tests through some , but not all , of the following (1) accurately reporting standardized scores (as available), (2) interpreting the meaning of the standardized scores, and (3) summarizing the results of the standardized scores.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to describe the results of standardized aptitude and achievement tests.
4.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on classroom- based, state, and local assessments.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments through all of the following (1) accurately reporting performance on classroom-based, state, and	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments through some , but not all , of the following (1) accurately reporting performance on classroom-based, state, and	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to describe the PK-12 student's performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments.

		local assessments (as available), (2) interpreting the performance on classroombased, state, and local assessments, and (3) summarizing the results of the performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments.	local assessments (as available), (2) interpreting the performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments, and (3) summarizing the results of the performance on classroom-based, state, and local assessments.	
5.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's behavior as measured by direct observations.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's behavior through all of the following (1) gathering and/or collecting data from direct observations (as appropriate), (2) interpreting direct observation data, (3) reporting behaviors in observable and measurable terms, and (4) reporting direct observations in objective, observable, and measurable terms.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's behavior through some , but not all , of the following (1) gathering and/or collecting data from direct observations (as appropriate), (2) interpreting direct observation data, (3) reporting behaviors in observable and measurable terms, and (4) reporting direct observations in objective, observable, and measurable terms.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to explain the PK-12 student's behavior as measured by direct observations.
6.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine influencing factors in the determination of the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services: (1) a lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction, (2) a lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics, including the essential components of mathematics instruction, and (3) limited English proficiency.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine influencing factors in the determination of the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services: (1) a lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction, (2) a lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics, including the essential components of mathematics instruction, and (3) limited English proficiency by evaluating all available information/data (e.g. information related to educational history, information from parent/teacher interviews, data related to language proficiency).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine influencing factors in the determination of the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services: (1) a lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction, (2) a lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics, including the essential components of mathematics instruction, and (3) limited English proficiency by evaluating some , but not all , available information/data (e.g. information related to educational history, information from parent/teacher interviews, data related to language proficiency).	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to determine influencing factors in the determination of the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services: (1) a lack of appropriate instruction in reading, including the essential components of reading instruction, (2) a lack of appropriate instruction in mathematics, including the essential components of mathematics instruction, and (3) limited English proficiency.
7.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to represent additional data.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to represent additional data through all of the following: (1) accurately determining whether additional data is necessary, (2) gathering/collecting additional data if it is determined to be necessary, and (3) interpreting additional data that has been gathered/collected.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to explain additional data through some , but not all , of the following: (1) accurately determining whether additional data is necessary, (2) gathering/collecting additional data if it is determined to be necessary, and (3) interpreting additional data that has been gathered/collected.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to represent additional data.
8.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to summarize findings and interpret evaluation result by identifying	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to summarize findings and interpret evaluation result by identifying some ,	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to summarize findings and interpret evaluation results.

	summarize findings and interpret evaluation results.	all (1) current educational strengths and needs in each area of achievement, (2) present levels (academics) that are based on all relevant evaluations and findings, and (3) present levels (functional, developmental, behavioral, social/emotional, as appropriate) that are based on all relevant findings.	but not all, (1) current educational strengths and needs in each area of achievement, (2) present levels (academics) that are based on all relevant evaluations and findings, and (3) present levels (functional, developmental, behavioral, social/emotional, as appropriate) that are based on all relevant findings.	
9.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to generate a conclusion about the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to generate a conclusion about the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services by identifying all of the following (1) whether the PK-12 student has a disability, (2) whether the PK-12 student demonstrates evidence of a need for specially designed instruction, (3) the primary/secondary disability category (as appropriate), and (4) recommendations for the IEP team to consider that are relevant to the PK-12 student's needs (as appropriate).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to generate a conclusion about the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services by identifying whether the PK-12 student (1) has a disability and (2) demonstrates evidence of a need for specially designed instruction. and by identifying one, but not both of the following (1) the primary/secondary disability category (as appropriate), and (2) recommendations for the IEP team to consider that are relevant to the PK-12 student's needs (as appropriate).	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to generate a conclusion about the PK-12 student's eligibility for special education and related services.
10.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine if there is a specific learning disability (as appropriate to the PK-12 student).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine if there is a specific learning disability (as appropriate to the PK-12 student) by describing all of the following: (1) underachievement in each area of achievement for which the student is qualified for a learning disability, (2) the basis for determination (RTI or severe discrepancy), (3) Instructional strategies, (4) Educationally relevant medical findings, (5) whether there are educational effects that are based on the environment, culture, or economic status of the PK-12 student, (6) whether regular educational instruction was delivered to the PK-12 student by qualified personnel, (7) progress monitoring data, (8) the relationship of the PK-12 student's behavior to academic performance, and (9) the exclusion of other possible primary conditions (for all listed conditions) as a reason for determining a learning disability.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine if there is a specific learning disability (as appropriate to the PK-12 student) by describing a minimum of 5 of the following: (1) underachievement in each area of achievement for which the student is qualified for a learning disability, (2) the basis for determination (RTI or severe discrepancy), (3) Instructional strategies, (4) Educationally relevant medical findings, (5) whether there are educational effects that are based on the environment, culture, or economic status of the PK-12 student, (6) whether regular educational instruction was delivered to the PK-12 student by qualified personnel, (7) progress monitoring data, (8) the relationship of the PK-12 student's behavior to academic performance, and (9) the exclusion of other possible primary conditions (for all listed conditions) as a reason for	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to determine if there is a specific learning disability (as appropriate to the PK-12 student).

determining a learning disability.

determining a learning disability.

Special Education-Individualized Education Program (IEP) Rubric

		Exemplary (3pts)	Proficient (2pts)	Unsatisfactory (1pt)
1.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to represent the PK-12 student's Demographics and Special Considerations (e.g., behavior, communication, sensory deficits).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to represent the PK-12 student's Demographics and Special Considerations (1) by providing accurate demographics and (2) by evaluating all available student documentation (e.g., evaluation report, re-evaluation report, parent input, teacher input, classroom performance) that could provide evidence of the need for special considerations.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to represent the PK-12 student's Demographics and Special Considerations (1) by providing accurate demographics and (2) by evaluating some , but not all , available student documentation (e.g. evaluation report, re-evaluation report, parent input, teacher input, classroom performance) that could provide evidence of the need for special considerations.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to represent the PK-12 student's Demographics and Special Considerations (e.g. behavior, communication, sensory deficits).
2.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to identify the PK-12 student's present levels.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to identify the PK-12 student's present levels by accurately reporting all (1) current findings in relevant areas including present levels of academic achievement, functional performance, and secondary transition, (2) parental concerns, and (3) information that is gained from a large variety of sources (present instructional levels, classroom-based performance, progress towards current IEP annual goals, evaluation report, etc.) that are relevant to the student.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to identify the PK-12 student's present levels by accurately reporting some, but not all, (1) current findings in relevant areas including present levels of academic achievement, functional performance, and secondary transition, (2) parental concerns, and (3) information that is gained from a variety of sources (present instructional levels, classroom-based performance, progress towards current IEP annual goals, evaluation report, etc.) that are relevant to the student.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to identify the PK-12 student's present levels by accurately reporting.
3.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to interpret the PK-12 student's present levels.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to interpret the PK-12 student's present levels by interpreting all (1) current findings in relevant areas including present levels of academic achievement, functional performance, and secondary transition, (2) parental concerns, and (3) information that is gained from a large variety of sources (present instructional levels, classroombased performance, progress towards current IEP annual goals, evaluation report, etc.) that are relevant to the student.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to interpret the PK-12 student's present levels by interpreting some , but not all , (1) current findings in relevant areas including present levels of academic achievement, functional performance, and secondary transition, (2) parental concerns, and (3) information that is gained from a variety of sources (present instructional levels, classroombased performance, progress towards current IEP annual goals, evaluation report, etc.) that are relevant to the student.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to interpret the PK-12 student's present levels.

4.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to plan for the PK-12 student's transition services (e.g. postsecondary education and training, employment, and independent living) (as appropriate).	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to plan for the PK-12 student's transition services by using assessment to plan outcomes, services and activities, and related necessary IEP goals for all domains of transition that are relevant to the individual student (postsecondary education and training, employment, and independent living); or the Teacher Candidate indicates that specific transition services are not applicable for the student at the current time, and states the rationale.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to plan for the PK-12 student's transition services by using assessment to plan outcomes, services and activities, and related necessary IEP goals for some , but not all domains of transition that are relevant to the individual student (postsecondary education and training, employment, and independent living).	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to plan for the PK-12 student's transition services or indicate that transitions services are not applicable for the student at the current time.
5.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine the way in which the PK-12 student will participate in state and local assessments.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine the way in which the PK-12 student will participate in state and local assessments by examining all (1) allowable test accommodations (as indicated by each test) and (2) allowable options for alternative testing (as indicated by the state/federal guidelines) based on the student's characteristics.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine the way in which the PK-12 student will participate in state and local assessments by examining some , but not all (1) allowable test accommodations and (2) allowable options for alternative testing based on the student's characteristics.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to determine the way in which the PK-12 student will participate in state and local assessments.
6.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to develop the PK-12 student's IEP goals and objectives.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to develop the PK-12 student's IEP goals and objectives by generating goals and objectives that have all of the following characteristics: they (1) are individualized, measurable, and observable, (2) address the content area that is directly affected by the student's disability, (3) address other needs that may interfere with progress in the general education curriculum, such as behavior, (4) demonstrate a direct relationship with the present levels, (5) describe how progress will be monitored, and (6) indicate when and how progress will be reported to the parents.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to develop the PK-12 student's IEP goals and objectives by generating goals and objectives that have some , but not all , of the following characteristics: they (1) are individualized, measurable, and observable, (2) address the content area that is directly affected by the student's disability, (3) address other needs that may interfere with progress in the general education curriculum, such as behavior, (4) demonstrate a direct relationship with the present levels, (5) describe how progress will be monitored, and (6) indicate when and how progress will be reported to the parents.	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to develop the PK-12 student's IEP goals and objectives.
7.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to design the PK-12 student's special education services.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to design the PK-12 student's special education services by identifying all appropriate supports and services including (1) appropriate modifications and specially designed instruction, (2) related services, (3) supports for	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to design the PK-12 student's special education services by identifying some , but not all , appropriate supports and services including (1) Appropriate modifications and specially designed instruction, (2) Related services, (3) Supports for school	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to design the PK-12 student's special education services

		school personnel, (4) support services for students who are identified as gifted, and (5) eligibility for Extended School Year services that would benefit the student's education.	personnel, (4) support services for students who are identified as gifted, and (5) eligibility for Extended School Year services that would benefit the student's education.	
8.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine and justify the PK-12 student's educational placement.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine and justify the PK-12 student's educational placement by (1) considering all allowable options (e.g. setting, curricular) that could ensure that the student is educated in the least restrictive environment and (2) providing a rationale that relates very specifically to the student's individual characteristics.	Teacher Candidate demonstrates an understanding of how to determine and justify the PK-12 student's educational placement by (1) considering some possible options (e.g. setting, curricular) that could ensure that the student is educated in the least restrictive environment and (2) providing a rationale that is not specific to the student's characteristics	Teacher Candidate does not demonstrate an understanding of how to determine and justify the PK-12 student's educational placement

West Chester University Department of Special Education

NOREP Rubric

Key: 0—component or aspect is missing or incorrect 1—component or aspect is partially present or partially correct

2—component or aspect is present and correct

1.Confidentiality is maintained (all identifying information has been changed, including student name)	0	1	2
2. Heading information is provided (date, name, address, etc.)	0		2
3.Action proposed or refused indicated	0	1	2
4.Explanation of the reasons why the actions were proposed or refused	0	1	2
5a. Describes other options considered when the determination of appropriate special education services was made			2
5b. Explains why the options considered in 5a were considered but found to be Inappropriate			2
6.Describes the evaluation procedures, assessments, records or reports used as the basis for the action proposed or refused			2
7.Describes other factors that are relevant to the proposed action or refusal (N/A)			2
8.Type of service recommended			
a. Type of service indicated (e.g., itinerant, resource, PT, FT)			2
b. Type of support indicated (e.g., Learning Support, Life Skills Support, Emotional Support, etc.)	0	1	2

NOTE: If an item has (N/A), the item must be noted on the NOREP as being "Not Applicable" if it is not applicable to the student.

Lesson Plan RubricRevised 4-21-2004; 5-3-2004; 5-11-2004; 5-18-2004; 1-10-2005; 9-28-2005; 9-29-2006; 1-24-2007; 3-21-2007; 4-4-2007; 02-06-2008; 03-28-2008; 07-27-2009; 06/01/2011

Candidate's Name	Course Prefix/Number	er/Section				
Lesson Topic	Grade	Lesson Taught Yes	☐ No			
Semester:	mer Year: 2020 [□ 2021				
Directions: The statements on this form are characteristics and qualities that the Candidate is expected to demonstrate and which indicate the extent of his/her development at this point in the preparation sequence. As you carefully consider each item, please place an X in the box next to the level of performance that the Candidate demonstrated. Please select only one option for each lesson plan component. Evaluation Scale Exemplary (3 pts.): Candidate performed at a level well beyond that expected of a novice teacher (This rating reserved to highlight exceptional strengths.) Sound/Solid (2 pts.): Acceptable (1 pt.): Candidate performed commendably; reflective of successful efforts Candidate performed adequately with few exceptions; reflective of acceptable efforts Candidate performed at a level less than acceptable; reflective of the need to strengthen and/or develop						
1.1 Integration of Learning Outcomes Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pt.)			
The measurable, observable learning outcomes/objectives are linked to appropriate standards, used to develop meaningful experiences that facilitate learning for all students, and are well integrated into and consistently used throughout the lesson plan.	The measurable, observable earning outcomes/objectives are linked to appropriate standards, used to develop meaningful experiences that facilitate learning for all students, and are consistently used in the lesson plan.	The measurable, observable learning outcomes/objectives are linked to appropriate standards, used to develop meaningful experiences that facilitate learning for all students, and are frequently used in the lesson plan.	The candidate needs to demonstrate the ability to develop measurable, observable learning outcomes/objectives, linked to appropriate standards, and used to develop meaningful experiences that facilitate learning for all students as evidenced in the lesson plan.			
1.2 Standards						
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)			
Appropriate and reasonable standard(s) is/are consistently used and well integrated in the lesson plan.	Appropriate and reasonable standard(s) is/are consistently used in the lesson plan.	Appropriate and reasonable standard(s) is/are in the lesson plan.	Needs appropriate and reasonable standard(s) in the lesson plan.			
1.3 Anticipatory Set						
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)			
Anticipatory set is well integrate and exhibits all of the following characteristics: active and engaging, awareness of expectations (process and outcomes), and appropriate to students and content.	Anticipatory set is well integrated and exhibits the following characteristics: awareness of expectations (process and outcomes) and appropriate to students and content.	Anticipatory set exhibits the following characteristics: awareness of expectations (process and outcomes) and appropriate to students and content.	Needs to incorporate an anticipatory set that includes the following characteristics: awareness of expectations (process and outcomes) and appropriate to students and content.			

1.4 Procedures

Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Procedures are well integrated and exhibit all of the following: steps are doable, sequential, developmentally appropriate, and lead to assessment; pacing is appropriate; and strategies are active, engaging, and motivating.	Procedures exhibit all of the following: steps are doable, sequential, developmentally appropriate, and lead to assessment; pacing is appropriate; and strategies are active, engaging, and motivating.	Procedures exhibit steps that are doable, sequential, developmentally appropriate, and lead to assessment.	Needs to incorporate procedures that are doable, sequential, developmentally appropriate, and lead to assessment.

1.5 Differentiation

Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Provides active, engaging, motivating,	Provides active, engaging, notivating,	Addresses the individual needs of all	Needs to demonstrate that the
and well-paced instruction that meets the	and well-paced instruction that meets the	students.	instruction addresses the individual needs
individual needs of all students while	individual needs of all students.		of all the students.
maintaining a community			
of learners within the classroom.			

1.6 Closure

Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Closure is well integrated and exhibits all of the following characteristics: is active and engaging, facilitates student reflection, reinforces and consolidates major points of the lesson, and prepares students for subsequent learning.	Closure is well integrated and exhibits the following characteristics: facilitates student reflection, reinforces and consolidates major points of the lesson, and prepares students for subsequent learning.	Closure exhibits the following characteristics: facilitates student reflection and reinforces and consolidates major points of the lesson.	Needs to exhibit closure that facilitates student reflection, and reinforces and consolidates major points of the lesson.

1.7 Formative/ Summative Assessment of Students (P-12)

Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Assessments are well integrated and exhibit all of the following characteristics: are active and engaging, occur multiple times in the lesson, utilize different assessment strategies, and are tied to relevant learning outcomes.	Assessments are well integrated and exhibit the following characteristics: occur multiple times in the lesson, utilize different assessment strategies, and are tied to relevant learning outcomes.	Assessments exhibite following characteristics: occur multiple times in the lesson and are linked to relevant learning outcomes.	Needs to exhibit that assessments occur multiple times in the lesson and are linked to relevant learning outcomes.

1.8 Materials/ Equipment			
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Materials are well integrated and exhibit all of the following characteristics: authenticity, appropriateness, comprehensiveness, and safety.	Materials are well integrated and exhibit the following characteristics: appropriateness and safety.	Materials are appropriate and safe.	Materials need to be appropriate and safe
1.9 Technology (PLEASE CHECK NOT APPLI Technology is defined as using tools to enhance to	eaching and learning (e.g., audiovisual aids, mu		NA sistive technology).
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Candidate and students use technology that is active and engaging, and promotes knowledge and skills complementary to the lesson.	Candidate uses technology that is active and engaging, and promotes knowledge and skills complementary to the lesson.	Candidate uses technology that promotes knowledge and skills complementary to the lesson.	Candidate needs to use technology that promotes knowledge and skills complementary to the lesson.
2.1 Reflection on Planning			
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Candidate demonstrates insight into his/her content and pedagogical knowledge and includes goal setting for continuous learning.	Candidate demonstrates insight into his/her content and pedagogical knowledge and is aware of the need for continuous learning.	Candidate refers to hisher content and pedagogical knowledge.	Candidate needs to refer to his/her content and pedagogical knowledge.
2.2 Reflection on Instruction (PLEASE CHECK	X <i>NOT APPLICABLE [NA]</i> IF LESSON WA	S NOT TAUGHT: NA	
Exemplary (4 pts)	Sound/Solid (3 pts)	Acceptable (2 pt.)	Emerging/Needs Development (1 pts)
Reflection demonstrates insight into individual and group accomplishments via: data- driven decision making and planning, formative/summative assessments, attainment of learning outcomes, and analysis of the lesson's strengths and weaknesses.	Reflection demonstrates: data- drven decision making and planning, formative/summative assessments, attainment of learning outcomes, and analysis of the lesson's strengths and weaknesses.	Reflection demonstrates: data- driven decision making and planning, formative/summative assessments, and attainment of learning outcomes.	Reflection needs to demonstrate: data- driven decision making and planning, formative/summative assessments, and attainment of learning outcomes.
Instructor_	Evaluat	ion Date	

Student Learning Objectives (SLO) Process Rubric

ANALYSIS OF STUDENT PERFORMANCE DATA (STAGE 1)				
Distinguished (4)	Proficient (3)	Basic (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Multiple forms of student performance data	Multiple forms of student performance	At least one form of student	The use of student performance data	
were analyzed via data analysis teams.	were analyzed. Performance data	performance data was analyzed.	for analysis was unclear or non-	
Performance data was directly connected to an	directly connected to an Academic	Performance data was loosely	existent. Performance data was not	
Academic Standard(s) and district curriculum.	Standard and Assessment Anchor.	connected to an Academic Standard and	linked to an Academic Standard or	
		Assessment Anchor.	Assessment Anchor.	
		TIFICATION OF TARGET POPULA	ATION (STAGE 1)	
Distinguished (4)	Proficient (3)	Basic (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
Identification of instructional need was directly	Identification of instructional need was	Identification of instructional need was	No/unclear connection between the	
connected to the analysis of multiple forms of	directly connected to multiple forms of	loosely connected to performance data,	analysis of student performance data and	
student performance data and collaboration with	student performance data, an Academic	an Academic Standard, an Assessment	the identification of instructional need	
one's peers. A direct connection between	Standard, an Assessment Anchor and	Anchor and its Eligible Content.	was illustrated. Link to Academic	
student performance data, Academic Standard,	its Eligible Content. Background	Background information related to the	Standard, an Assessment Anchor or its	
an Assessment Anchor and its Eligible Content	information related to the subgroup was	target population was reviewed;	Eligible Content was unclear. No	
existed. Background information was researched	reviewed and the rationale for selecting	however, the rationale for selecting the	rationale existed for selecting the	
for the subgroup of students selected (e.g.,	the subgroup of students was clear.	target population was unclear.	subgroup of students.	
I.E.P.; ELL, etc.) and a rationale for the				
subgroup of students was clear.				
	ACHIEVEMENT TAR			
Distinguished (4)	Proficient (3)	Basic (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)	
The achievement target was directly connected	The achievement target was directly	The achievement target was loosely	The achievement target was not	
to the identified instructional need(s), Academic	connected to the identified instructional	connected to the identified instructional	connected to the identified instructional	
Standard(s), Assessment Anchor and its eligible	need, Academic Standard, Assessment	need, Academic Standard, Assessment	need, Academic Standard, Assessment	
content for the subgroup of students selected.	Anchor and its Eligible Content for a	Anchor and its Eligible Content for a	Anchor, or its Eligible Content. The	
The achievement target took into consideration	specific subgroup of students. The	specific set of students. The	achievement target was unreasonable for	
needs specific to this subgroup selected (e.g.,	achievement target was reasonable for	achievement target was somewhat	the subgroup of students selected.	
process monitoring, specifically designed	the subgroup of students selected.	reasonable for the subgroup of students		
instruction, etc.) The achievement target was		selected.		
highly appropriate for the subgroup of students				
selected.				

Distinguished (4) Proficient (3) Basic (2) Unsatisfactory (1)				
	D			
Pre- and post-assessment directly aligned to		and post-assessment aligned to the	The pre- and post-assessment were	The pre- and post-assessment were not
content standard(s) identified for the target		ructional standard identified for	somewhat aligned to the instructional	aligned to the instructional standard
population. Pre- and post-assessments were		ysis. The pre- and post-assessments	standard identified for analysis. The pre-	identified for analysis. The pre- and
carefully designed for the target population and		e appropriate for the target	and post-assessments were somewhat	post-assessments were not appropriate
meaningful data can be generated to inform		alation and sufficiently designed to	appropriate for the target population and	for the target population and were not
future instructional decisions using the pre- and		n measurable data. Instructional	designed to attain measurable data.	designed to elicit measurable data.
post-assessments. The instructional plan		consisted of a series of lessons that	Instructional plan did not contain a series	Instructional plan did not consist of a
consisted of a complete unit that contained a		ained a clear beginning and ending	of lessons or a clear beginning and	series of lessons and did not contain a
clear beginning and ending date. Instructional		. Instructional outcome was clearly	ending date.	clear beginning and ending date.
outcome was clearly identified and directly connected to the identified instructional need(s),	1	tified and connected to the	Instructional outcome was loosely connected to the identified instructional	Instructional outcome was not connected
		tified instructional need, Academic		to the identified instructional need,
Academic Standard(s), Assessment Anchors(s),		dard, Assessment Anchor and its	need, Academic Standard, Assessment	Academic Standard, Assessment Anchor
and Eligible Content. Instructional plan was		ible Content. Instructional plan was	Anchor and its Eligible Content.	or its Eligible Content.
based on research-based instructional		d on the "best practice" or	Instructional plan was based on the "best	Instructional plan was unclear and was
methodology. A sound rational for the selection		arch-based instructional	practice" or research-based instructional	not based on "best practice" or research-
of the instructional methodology selected was		nodology and a sound rationale was	methodology. A rationale for	based instructional methodology. No
included. The instructional plan took into	1 *	rided for instructional methodology	instructional methodology was included	rationale was provided for the
consideration needs specific to the subgroup of		tiple forms of follow-up student	but unclear. At least one form of follow-	instructional methodology selected. No
students selected (e.g., I.E.P.; ELL, etc.).		ormance data were analyzed to rmine the effectiveness of the	up student performance data was	follow-up student performance data was
Multiple forms of follow-up student		ructional plan.	analyzed to determine the effectiveness	analyzed to determine the effectiveness of the
performance data were analyzed to determine the effectiveness of the instructional plan.	IIISU	uctional plan.	of the instructional plan.	instructional plan.
the effectiveness of the instructional plan.				
•		EFLECTION (STAGE 3)	Francisco Production
Distinguished (4)		EFLECTION (S		
Distinguished (4) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-		Proficient (3)	Basic (2)	Unsatisfactory (1)
The reflection related to the SLO process was in-	1	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with		Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent.
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic		Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population	c	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population.	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear.
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and	c	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection	c	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre-	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target	c !	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for	c !	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as	c	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan of	c ould	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popular	c buld tion	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for	c buld tion	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an	ould tion	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an analysis of the instructional plan implanted, included	ould tion	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including mitigating factors that may have	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an analysis of the instructional plan implanted, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from	ould tion or	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan conform educators who will teach the target population the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an analysis of the instructional plan implanted, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance gains for the target population. The States in the second	ould tion or ding	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including mitigating factors that may have	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an analysis of the instructional plan implanted, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance gains for the target population. The Streflection includes recommendations for further St	ould tion or ding	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan
The reflection related to the SLO process was indepth and included a collaborative discussion with one's peers. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of pre- and post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the instructional plan as well as recommendations for how the instructional plan coinform educators who will teach the target popula in the future. The reflection included a rationale for the instructional plan. The rationale included an analysis of the instructional plan implanted, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance gains for the target population. The States in the second	ould tion or ding	Proficient (3) The reflection related to the SLO process was in-depth. The reflection identified the academic growth of all members of the target population through the analysis of multiple forms of preand post-student performance data. The reflection included a rationale for selecting the target population. The reflection included an analysis of the effectiveness of the instructional plan implemented, including mitigating factors that may have detracted from performance	Basic (2) A reflection to the SLO process was provided. The reflection was unclear in identifying the instructional growth of all members of the target population. The rationale for selecting the target population and instruction plan was unclear. The reflection included analysis of the effectiveness of the Instructional Plan but did not include mitigating factors that may have	Unsatisfactory (1) The reflection related to the SLO process was minimal or non-existent. The instructional growth of the target population was not included or unclear. The rationale for selecting the target population and the instructional plan was not clear. An analysis related to the effectiveness of the instructional plan

DEVELOPMENT OF PRE- AND POST-ASSESSMENT AND INSTRUCTIONAL PLAN (STAGE 2)

DELIVERY A	AND PRE-ASSESSMENT AND	INSTRUCTIONAL PLAN (STAGE	3)	
*Note tl	nis section is not scored. The SLO	is scored for process, not outcome.	,	
Performance outcome of P-12 students should be outlined in the SLO Template and included in the Teacher Candidate's reflection.				
Distinguished	Profi cient	Basic	Unsatisfactory	
Pre-assessment was administered to the target population in congruence with each learner's needs (e.g. IEP, ESL, etc.). Baseline data was established that was valid. Instructional delivery was congruent with the instructional plans and professional adjustments were made as leaner needs dictated. Research-based methodology, best practices, and Danielson components were exceptionally professional. The remainder of the class was appropriately accommodated given their instructional needs through a variety of means (e.g., differentiated instruction, co- teaching, technology-based instruction, etc.).	Pre-assessment was administered to the target population following appropriate testing protocol. Pre-assessment scores were calculated to identifying baseline performance for the target population. Instructional delivery was consistent with the instructional plan. Research-based instructional methodologies, best practices, and Danielson components were delivered professionally. The instruction adhered to in considered of unforeseen circumstances (e.g., snow day, etc.).	Pre-assessment was administered to the target population. Testing protocol did not interfere with the attainment of valid baseline data. Instructional delivery was congruent with the instructional plan. Research-based instructional methodologies, best practices, and Danielson components were delivered but corrections were necessary. The instruction somewhat adhered to in considered of unforeseen circumstances (e.g., snow day, etc.).	Pre- and post-assessment was administered to the target population but the protocol interfered with the attainment of valid baseline data. Instructional delivery was not congruent with the instructional plan. Research-based instructional methodologies, best practices, and Danielson components were not delivered acceptably. The timeline established for the instructional plan was not adhered to even in consideration of unforeseen circumstance (e.g., snow days, etc.).	
DELIVERY AND PO	ST-ASSESSMENT AND ANAI	LYSIS OF ACADEMIC GROWTH (STAGE 3)	
*Note this section is not scored. The SLO is scored for process, not outcome. Performance outcome of P-12 students should be outlined in the SLO Template and included in the Teacher Candidate's reflection.				
Distinguished	Profi cient	Basic	Unsatisfactory	
Post-assessment was professionally administered to the target population following appropriate testing protocol. Post-assessment results were calculated and compared to the pre- assessment results and the academic growth of the target population was clearly identified. The academic growth of the target population determines the scores for this section (as outlined above).	Post-assessment was administered to the target population following appropriate testing protocol. Post-assessment scores were calculated and compared to the pre-assessment results and the academic growth for the target population was clearly identified.	Post-assessment was administered to the target population. Testing protocol did not interfere with the attainment of valid post-assessment data. Post- assessment scores were calculated and compared to the pre-assessment results and the academic growth for the target population was somewhat identified.	Post-assessment was administered to the target population. Testing protocol interfered with attainment of valid data. Post-assessment scores were not compared to pre-assessment scores and no reasonable identification of growth was indicated.	



Pennsylvania Statewide Evaluation Form for Student Professional Knowledge and Practice Pennsylvania Department of Education – PDE 430

HEADING AND SIGNATURE PAGE

- 1. The heading of the evaluation form contains biographical information regarding the student teacher / candidate being evaluated and the evaluation period
- 2. The subjects being taught and the grade level should be clearly listed.
- 3. Write the date on which the conference was held between the student teacher / candidate and the evaluator on the signature page of the PDE- 430 form.
- 4. Clearly state the school year and the term in the appropriate place on the signature page.

CATEGORIES OF EVALUATION

- 1. PDE 430 has 4 major categories addressing evaluation of student teacher / candidate:
 - a. Category I- Planning and Preparation
 - b. Category II- Classroom Environment
 - c. Category III- Instructional Delivery
 - d. Category IV- Professionalism

Each category has student teacher / candidate performance indicators that support the category's evaluation on a continuum from Exemplary through Unsatisfactory. The "Student Teacher / Candidate's Performance Appropriately Demonstrates" indicators are the criteria for the evaluation. Both the evaluator and the student teacher / candidate must be aware of the performance indicators being used in the evaluation before the evaluation takes place.

2. Each PDE 430 Category, I through IV, includes an explanation of the various aspects of teaching that aid in the further definition of the category.

LEVELS OF PROFICIENCY IN THE CATEGORIES

- 1. The categories presented on the PDE 430 provide knowledge, to the evaluator and the student teacher / candidate, of performance expectations and the required levels of proficiency for each category. The category's results are evaluated through the review of the defined "Student Teacher / Candidate's Performance Demonstrates" indicators in each of the four categories.
- 2. The student teacher / candidate's demonstrated performance indicators in each category should be checked or highlighted in a manner to assist the evaluator in determining the appropriate level of proficiency. The judgment of the performance for the rating of any category is based on:
 - a. The rater's overall evaluation of performance in each category and
 - b. Is not dependent on seeing each single performance indicator demonstrated successfully in order to receive a high-level evaluation.

SOURCES OF EVIDENCE

- 1. The sources of evidence gathered by the student teacher/candidate and the evaluator should be considered by the evaluator to make a judgment about the student teacher / candidate's performance / level of proficiency.
- 2. It is also the responsibility of the student teacher /candidate to ensure the availability of evidence required for each of the categories evaluated. The evaluator and the student teacher /candidate will share the sources at the conference date. The evaluator will mark, on the form next to the source of evidence, pertinent pieces of evidence that were reviewed during the evaluation of a student teacher /candidate's performance / level proficiency.
- 3. Since the evaluation form serves as a recordkeeping device in support of the recommendation for a successful performance assessment, it is important that the evaluator specify, next to the source of evidence, any evidence considered so that the student teacher, and other administrators reviewing the form, may have a sense of what was used to arrive at a judgment on the level of proficiency.
- 4. Sources of evidence should have, where appropriate, written dates that the source of evidence occurred: for example, the date of the planning document or dates of classroom observations / visits. Types of evidence reviewed can be listed as well as titles, for example, Back-to-School Night presentation. It should include the number of sources; for example if seven pieces of student work were collected for a particular source of evidence, that number should be included.
- 5. The space following each source of evidence allows an evaluator to document the important source(s) that were considered and captures the essential information about the source. If further space is required, an additional sheet may be attached.

JUSTIFICATION FOR EVALUATION

- 1. After reviewing the results of the student teacher / candidate's performance indicators in each category, and the pertinent sources of evidence, the assessor will make a judgment for each category on the PDE 430. The appropriate box is then checked.
- 2. This is a key section as it provides the student teacher with a clear understanding of the evaluator's decision based on observations and other specific sources of evidence. This section also provides further explanation of why the student teacher is receiving a particular rating for the category. The evaluator's comments help to focus the student teacher on his/ her specific strengths and areas for improvement. It is important to write statements that are clear, consistent and specify key areas for improvement, if required.

The justification section may be expanded to whatever length the rater feels necessary to help the student teacher / candidate understand the rating, the reasons for it and steps that can be taken to improve performance, whenever required.

EVALUATION

- 1. The evaluation / signature page of the PDE 430 includes the school year and the term during which the observation occurred. An appropriate overall judgment of the student teacher / candidate's demonstrated performance will be made and checked, resulting in a particular level of proficiency.
- 2. The signature of the evaluator, usually the student teacher / candidate's supervisor, must be included. In addition, the signature of the student teacher / candidate and the appropriate signature dates must also be included. The student teacher / candidate does not have to agree with the judgments or statements of the evaluator in order to sign the form. The student teacher / candidate is obligated to sign the form once the evaluator has shared the contents of the form with the student teacher / candidate. Student teacher / candidate may annotate the form with "I disagree with this rating."
- 3. The Overall Justification for Evaluation section should specify any key areas for improvement, when used for the first assessment, and provide the student teacher / candidate with a clear understanding of the evaluator's overall judgment of their performance. All written sections may be expanded in size in order

to fully express the observations and recommendations to the student teacher /candidate. Additional pages may be added if necessary.

The level of proficiency indicated in each of the four categories will be added to determine an overall rating / level of proficiency for the entire PDE 430 form and the single rating period. At least a satisfactory rating must have been achieved in each of the four categories.

The certifying officer must now verify that the candidate has achieved at least a satisfactory rating on the PDE 430 by indicating on the PDE 338C, College/University Verification Form, which is used to recommend a candidate to the Commonwealth for certification.

GENERAL REQUIREMENTS

- 1. Each student teacher / candidate must be observed and evaluated using PDE 430 a minimum of two times during their student teaching experience once at the midpoint, and once at the end. Note that his is a minimum number of times and further evaluations may be completed, as the college/ university desires. For example, if a candidate has two separate student teaching assignments, they may be observed at the midpoint and end of each assignment.
- 2. All evaluations with the PDE 430 assessment instrument must be used a minimum of two times. A satisfactory rating (1) in each of the four categories, resulting in *a minimum total of at least (4) points, must be achieved on the final summative rating* to favorably complete the overall assessment. Not that all categories must have achieved at least a satisfactory rating in all cases.
- 3. A copy of the PDE 430 is kept in the student teacher/candidate's college file. Student teacher / candidates should have a copy of their completed PDE 430. However, copies of the PDE 430 should not be provided by the college to outside agencies, prospective employers, or other individuals, in any situation, as this is an internal document. The PDE 430 is a confidential document. Copies of the PDE 430 will be reviewed during stat major program reviews.

Division of Teacher Education Tel: 717 787 3470 Bureau of Teacher Certification and Preparation Pennsylvania Department of Education (8/1/03)

TO AID IN GRADING THE FOLLOWING GUIDELINES ARE SUGGESTED:

- A. Clearly superior in planning, implementation, effectiveness, creativity, rapport with pupils and faculty. Lessons flow smoothly and accurately. Is able to manage the classroom and all duties of teaching in a seemingly effortless manner. Has developed an effective and consistent teaching style. Completes all practicum requirements actively and thoughtfully.
- A- Plans, implements and evaluates lessons that are accurate, creative, and effective. Classroom management is excellent or shows signs of becoming excellent. Rapport with students and faculty is excellent or shows much improvement throughout the assignment. Practicum participation and requirements are consistently well done.
- B+ Good, solid performance in classroom. Significant improvement in skill is noted. Shows indication of significant potential in skill development. Lesson plans, implementation, and evaluation are accurate, effective, and show creativity. Classroom management is effective. Practicum participation and requirements are well done.
- B. Good performance in classroom. Improvement in skill is evident and gives signs of true potential. Lesson plans and implementation are accurate, effective and frequently creative. Classroom management shows increasing effectiveness as experience grows. Practicum participation and requirements are satisfactory.
- B- Satisfactory performance in classroom. Indicated areas of need show improvement in subsequent observations. Lesson plans, implementations, and evaluation show improvement in accuracy and effectiveness. Classroom management is becoming more effective. Practicum participation and requirement completion are usually satisfactory.
- C+ Performance in classroom is improving. Indicated areas of need are improving. Lesson plans and implementation show improvement with specific guidelines. Classroom management shows evidence of increased effort. Practicum participation and requirement completion seem inconsistent.
- C Classroom performance shows the need for significant improvement in several areas. Lesson plans and implementation indicate the need for more accuracy, effectiveness, or creativity. Practicum participation and requirement completion indicate a lack of understanding and/or commitment.

WCU Conceptual Framework - Guidelines for Observations

The following guidelines may be used as a basis for a post observation conference, discussions regarding the Teacher Candidate's development, and for evaluation conferences.

Content and Pedagogical Specialist

- o Is knowledgeable about content and teaching strategies.
- o Integrates instruction across the curriculum.
- o Designs student tasks that focus on content knowledge needed to demonstrate mastery.
- o Uses technology effectively to enhance instruction.

Assessment and Instructional Designer

- o Writes clear, measurable objectives for lesson plans.
- Teaches to the objective.
- o Instructional management = effective classroom management.
- o Develops student mastery through instructional design of lessons.
- Ensures that students know instructional objectives and receive feedback on their progress toward these objectives.
- Uses proven research-based practices.
- Organizes students, time, space, and materials so that content instruction and student learning can take place.
- o Gives appropriate wait-time given for studentresponses.
- o Directions for student assignments are precise and clear.
- o Directions for multi-step assignments are written and verbal.
- o Teaches for accomplishment, using structured assignments with specific objectives.
- Includes: anticipatory set, stating the objective, providing appropriate input (content), modeling, checking for understanding, guided practice, closure, and independent practice in lesson design
- o Provides non-evaluative feedback that gives students a clear sense of progress.
- o Designs carefully planned questions, which facilitate comprehension, retention, and transfer.
- Designs lower-level and higher-level questions that demonstrate application of Bloom's Taxonomy.
- Teaches students to draw conclusions, develop arguments, and construct explanations for others.
- Uses graphic organizers to promote retention of learning.
- Uses formative assessment, including pre-assessment to inform teaching decisions and to improve student learning.
- Designs student tasks that focus on content knowledge needed to demonstrate mastery, and on the process skills and work habits students need to be successful.

Diversity Advocate and Classroom Community Builder

- o Establishes effective control via procedures and routines.
- o Provides well-ordered learning environment and high academic expectations.
- o Listens carefully and completely to student's w/o interruption and allows students to respond to the perspective of others.
- o Knows (and addresses) all students by their name.
- O Demonstrates that the most important factor governing student learning is classroom management (instructional management).
- o Develops a classroom climate that is task oriented, relaxed, and positive.

- Wastes little time, little confusion, or disruption.
- o Promotes time on task through classroom organization.
- o Addresses student behavior problems appropriately and efficiently.
- Focuses on student learning.
- o Involves students actively in learning through interactions with information, materials, and each other in a variety of groupings.
- o Treats all students with respect and dignity.
- o Communicates clear expectations, which include criteria for success.
- o Circulates around the classroom teaching from various locations, uses proximity and non-verbal communication to influence behavior.
- o Recognizes that attention spans are short.
- o Differentiates instruction so that learning experiences are productive for all students.
- o Embraces the belief that all students have the right and the ability to learn.
- o Exhibits enthusiasm for the subject matter taught.
- o Provides context and connects it to meaningful experiences.
- o Differentiates instruction so that learning experiences are productive for all students.

School and Community Professional

- o Dresses appropriately as a professional educator to model success.
- o Demonstrates the following professional attributes: Attitude, Dependability, Responsibility, Initiative, Cooperation, and Judgment

Self-Directed Practitioner

- o Evaluates own performance.
- o Offers suggestions for self-improvement.
- Accepts and responds to suggestions.

Universal Precautions

- I. <u>Purpose</u>: To ensure proper handling and disposal of blood and other body fluids, and/or contaminated material.
- II. <u>Objectives</u>: To prevent the spread of infection by all school staff and students from direct contact with blood/body fluids and/or contaminated material. Appropriate barrier precautions (latex gloves) should routinely be used by all school staff to prevent exposure when contact with blood/body fluids is anticipated.

III. Routine Specifications:

- 1. <u>Gloves</u>: Use when anticipating contact with blood/body fluids, mucus membrane, or non-intact skin. Gloves should be worn when handling items or surfaces soiled with blood/body fluids. Gloves should be changed after contact with contaminated materials.
- 2. <u>Hand washing</u>: Should be carried out immediately after gloves are removed. Hands and other skin surfaces should be washed immediately and thoroughly if contaminated with blood/body fluids.

IV. **Helpful Hints for Staff**:

- 1. Wear Band-Aids over any cuts on your hands.
- 2. Keep lots of tissues in your room (use this as a barrier for a bloody nose until gloves are put on).
- 3. Keep a change of appropriate clothes available in the event that your clothes contact contaminated material.